Comparison of Non-Mutant and Mutant waxy Genes in Rice and Maize

Ron J. Okagaki and Susan R. Wessler¹

Botany Department, University of Georgia, Athens, Georgia 30602 Manuscript received June 2, 1988 Accepted September 9, 1988

ABSTRACT

The waxy gene, which is responsible for the synthesis of amylose in endosperm and pollen, is genetically well characterized in many grasses including maize and rice. Homology between the previously cloned maize waxy gene and the rice gene has facilitated our cloning of a 15-kb HindIII fragment that contains the entire rice gene. A comparison of the restriction maps of the maize and rice gene encodes a 2.4-kb transcript that programs the *in vitro* synthesis of a 64-kD pre-protein which is efficiently precipitated with maize waxy antisera. We demonstrate that these gene products are altered in rice strains containing mutant waxy genes. Southern blot analysis of 16 rice strains, ten containing waxy mutations, reveals that the waxy gene and flanking restriction fragments are virtually identical. These results contrast dramatically with the high level of insertions among the waxy alleles of maize.

THE waxy (wx, also called glutinous) locus of rice (Oryza sativa), like the waxy locus of other grasses, is responsible for the synthesis of amylose in the triploid endosperm of the developing grain and in the haploid pollen (PARNELL 1921). The starch of wild-type endosperm tissue consists of between 15-30% amylose and 70-85% amylopectin whereas endosperm starch is 100% amylopectin in most waxy mutants (SANO, KATSUMATA and OKUNO 1986). The locus has been a favorite of plant geneticists since the turn of the century because mutants are fully viable and the mutant phenotype can be easily scored in thousands of progeny by a quick visual inspection (the endosperm has a waxy appearance) or by staining for the presence of amylose in either endosperm or pollen with I/KI.

Rice waxy mutants are not only of interest to geneticists; they are also of agronomic importance. The altered starch composition of waxy mutants changes the cooking properties of the rice grain making the starch valuable in the production of certain food products. In several countries, this type of rice accounts for over 10% of total rice production (GRIST 1986). For this reason over one hundred waxy mutants have been isolated and collected and are available for molecular analysis. This represents one of the largest collections of mutant alleles for any plant gene.

The molecular biology of the rice waxy gene is poorly understood despite the availability of numerous mutant alleles and a fine structure genetic map comprised of some of these mutations (LI, WANG and YEH 1965). In contrast, the maize waxy gene has been cloned (SHURE, WESSLER and FEDOROFF 1983) and several waxy alleles have been characterized. Structural analysis of these alleles has revealed the important role of DNA insertions and deletions in the generation of restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) and spontaneous wx mutations (WES-SLER and VARAGONA 1985; SPELL, BARAN and WES-SLER 1988). In view of the paucity of genetic systems accessible to molecular techniques in higher plants and the importance of rice as a crop plant, we undertook the cloning of the rice waxy gene and the characterization of its non-mutant and mutant gene products. In this report we also present a structural analysis of some of the rice waxy alleles. Taken together, these results provide a unique opportunity to contrast the molecular mechanisms underlying RFLP and mutation in the diverse grasses maize and rice.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Plant strains: The source of maize Wx DNA and RNA was the inbred HY (WESSLER and VARAGONA 1985). The source of rice Wx alleles were: Nato, Bluebonnet 50 (M. MISHKIND), IR 36 (R. COFFMAN), Labelle, Pecos (R. DILDAY), and M101 (N. RUTGER). The source of rice wx alleles were: wxCI 9972, wxPI 260662, wxPI 291667, wxPI 291656 (USDA ARS National Small Grain Collection, Beltsville, Maryland), wxIR29, wxPI 224836, wxPI 248486, wxPI 434619 (International Rice Research Institute, Los Banos, Philippines), M101wx (N. RUTGER), and wxGlut Znth 205 (R. DILDAY). Mutations wxCI 9972 and M101wx were induced with cobalt-60; others are believed to be spontaneous. The varieties of millet and sorghum are unknown, wheat was cv. Chinese Spring.

Genomic DNA and RNA preparation and genomic blot

¹ To whom correspondence should be addressed.

analysis: DNA was purified from 2-4-week-old maize plantlets or from tillering rice by methods described previously (SHURE, WESSLER and FEDOROFF 1983). For Southern blot analysis, six μ g of restricted DNA was fractionated by electrophoresis through 1% agarose, blotted in an alkaline solution to GeneScreen Plus (CHOMCZYNSKI and QASBA 1984), and hybridized in 6X SSC, 1% SDS, 10 mM EDTA, 5% dextran sulfate and 0.5 mg/ml heparin. Washes were in 0.5X SSC, 0.5% SDS at 65° for heterologous probes and in 0.1X SSC, 0.5% SDS at 65° for homologous probes.

RNA was isolated from immature maize seed (harvested 18–22 days after pollination), immature rice seed at the milky stage, and immature millet, wheat and sorghum seed by method described previously for maize tissue (SHURE, WESSLER and FEDOROFF 1983). Poly(A) RNA was fractionated in formaldehyde-containing agarose gels as described in WESSLER et al. (1986), and transferred to Magnagraph (Fisher Scientific) according to the method of THOMAS (1980). DNA probes used for Southern and Northern blot analysis were labeled by nick translation (RIGBY et al. 1977).

In vitro translations and immunoprecipitations: Poly(A) RNA was used to program the synthesis of [35 S]methioninelabeled polypeptides in a rabbit reticulocyte lysate system (Bethesda Research Labs). A polyclonal antiserum raised against the maize Wx protein was used to immunoprecipitate polypeptides from the total translation products. In vitro translations and immunoprecipitations were as described (SHURE, WESSLER and FEDOROFF 1983). Samples were separated on a 10–20% (w/v) SDS-polyacrylamide gradient gel with a 5% polyacrylamide stacking gel. Electrophoresis was carried out at 4° for 16 hr at 20 mA using the discontinuous buffer system of LAEMMLI (1970). Gels were trimmed, fixed, impregnated with PPO and POPOP in DMSO and exposed to Kodak X-Omat film overnight (LASKEY and MILLS 1975).

Genomic cloning of the wild-type rice waxy gene: A rice library was constructed using the lambda vector 2001 (Stratagene) and genomic DNA from the Labelle variety. Onehalf microgram of *Hin*dIII digested DNA was ligated with one μ g of Lambda 2001 arms derived from a *Hin*dIII digestion. Ligated molecules were packaged with GigaPack Plus (Stratagene), plated on LE392 cells (ENQUIST and WEIS-BERG 1977) and filter lifts were hybridized with probe 2 from the maize *Wx* gene (see Figure 3). From a library of 200,000 primary recombinant phage, eight phage hybridized with the probe and were shown to be identical following digestion with *Hin*dIII, *Eco*RI or *Sal*I.

A 6-kb *Eco*RI fragment, determined by Southern blot analysis to contain all sequences that hybridize with the maize Wx gene, was subcloned into pUC 18 (YANISCH-PERRON, VIERA and MESSING 1985) and a detailed restriction map was prepared.

RESULTS

Homologous Wx transcripts in several grasses: Previous genetic and biochemical studies indicate that the Wx genes of maize and rice are very similar. Both genes encode starch granule bound UDP-glucosyl transferases that are responsible for the synthesis of amylose in endosperm and pollen (NELSON and RINES 1962; MURATA, SUGIYAMA and AKAZAWA 1965). The Wx proteins have been isolated from endosperm starch granules in maize (SHURE, WESSLER and FEDO-ROFF 1983) and rice (SANO 1984) and have apparent M_r of 58 kD and 60 kD, respectively.

To determine if this similarity extended to the DNA

sequences of the two genes, we used Northern blot analysis to detect transcripts homologous with the cloned maize Wx gene among poly(A) RNA isolated from the immature seed of rice and other grasses. The results of this analysis are presented in Figure 1. Under conditions of high stringency (see MATERIALS AND METHODS), maize Wx probes 2, 3, and 4 (see Figure 3) hybridize with a 2.4-kb transcript from maize, rice, millet and wheat (Figure 1A, lanes 1, 2, 4, 5, respectively and a 2.6-kb transcript from sorghum (lane 3).

The fact that the 2.4-kb rice transcript is altered in two rice strains containing waxy mutations demonstrates that this transcript is the product of the Wxgene (Figure 1B). Strain wxCI 9972 is null for waxy expression (as assayed by I/KI staining of endosperm and pollen) and contains a wx transcript that is 200 bp shorter than the non-mutant strain (Figure 1B, lanes 1 and 2), whereas the null allele harbored by strain wxPI 260662 does not encode a distinct transcript (Figure 1B, lane 3).

The rice Wx gene encodes a preprotein of the expected size: The 58-kD maize Wx protein is synthesized as a 65-kD precursor that is processed to its mature size during transport into amyloplasts (SHURE, WESSLER and FEDOROFF 1983). A polyclonal antiserum raised against the maize Wx protein (SHURE, WESSLER and FEDOROFF 1983) was used to identify antigenically related polypeptides among the in vitro translation products programmed by rice poly(A)RNA isolated from immature seed. The maize antiserum specifically precipitates a 64-kD polypeptide from the total translation products of rice Wx RNA (Figure 2, compare lanes 2 and 6). This polypeptide comigrates with the maize polypeptide (Figure 2, lane 5). Strain wxPI 260662, which has no distinct wxtranscript (Figure 1B, lane 3), produces no antigenically-related polypeptide (Figure 2, compare lanes 3 and 7) whereas strain wxCI 9972, which has a shorter wx transcript (Figure 1B, lane 2), produces a 61-kD polypeptide (Figure 2, compare lanes 4 and 8). Thus, the rice Wx protein is immunologically related to the maize protein and is synthesized as a precursor polypeptide of 64 kD that is probably processed to its mature size of 60 kD (SANO 1984) during transport into amyloplasts. This is similar to the maize precursor polypeptide of 65 kD that is processed to 58 kD during transport.

Cloning and characterization of the rice Wx gene: Southern blot analysis revealed that all rice sequences that hybridize with maize Wx probes 2, 3, and 4 (Figure 3) reside on a 15-kb *Hind*III fragment in several rice strains containing Wx alleles (data not shown). This fragment was cloned from the Labelle strain by ligating a *Hind*III digest of genomic DNA into the lambda vector 2001 and identifying clones





FIGURE 2.-In vitro translations programmed by poly(A) RNA isolated from immature seeds of maize and rice. [35S]Methioninelabeled polypeptides synthesized in a rabbit reticulocyte translation system were fractionated by gel electrophoresis either before (lanes 1-4) or after precipitation with maize Wx antiserum (lanes 5-8). The source of RNA was: maize Wx (lanes 1 and 5), rice Wx (Nato) (lanes 2 and 6), rice wxPI 260662 (lanes 3 and 7) and rice wxCI 9972 (lanes 4 and 8). The sizes of protein standards are in kilodaltons.

containing sequences that hybridize with maize Wxprobes. From a primary library of 200,000 clones, eight positive plaques were recovered. One recombinant phage was selected for subcloning after initial restriction mapping showed that the eight phage were identical.

A 6-kb EcoRI fragment, identified by hybridization with maize Wx probes as containing most, if not all, of the Wx gene, was subcloned into the plasmid pUC 18 and used to generate a restriction map that includes all the sites for the enzymes AvaI, BamHI, PstI, SalI and SstI. A comparison between the rice and maize genes (Figure 3) indicates that several restriction sites

FIGURE 1.-Northern blot analysis of transcripts that hybridize with maize Wx probe 2 (Figure 3) in rice and other grasses. Identical results were obtained when maize Wx probes 3 or 4 were used. (A) Poly(A) RNA isolated from the immature seed of maize (1 μ g, lane 1), rice (1 μ g, lane 2), sorghum (1 μ g, lane 3), millet (2 μ g, lane 4) and wheat $(1 \mu g, lane 5)$ are displayed. (B) One microgram of poly(A) RNA from immature seed of rice strains with a Wx allele (lane 1) or the wx alleles wxCI 9972 (lane 2) or wxPI 260662 are displayed. All blots were probed under conditions described in the Methods and washed in 0.1× SSC at 65°. The size of RNAs are in kilobases and were determined by comparison with RNA standards that are not shown.

2.2

within exonic sequences that encode the mature maize Wx protein are apparently conserved (Figure 3). Two of the SalI sites and two of the SstI sites within the maize gene (indicated by stars in Figure 3) are less than 50 bp apart and difficult to resolve by gel analysis and, therefore, might also be present in the rice gene. We were able to confirm the alignment of sites displayed in Figure 3 by probing restriction digests of the rice gene with the appropriate labeled maize subclones (data not shown). These Southern blot analyses also revealed that probe #1, which contains the untranslated first exon of the maize Wx gene and 5' flanking sequences, does not hybridize with the 6-kb EcoRI rice subclone or the 15-kb HindIII fragment from which it was derived (data not shown).

Genomic organization of wild-type and mutant waxy genes: Insertions and deletions within and flanking the maize waxy gene are the predominant causative agents underlying RFLP and spontaneous mutations (WESSLER and VARAGONA 1985; SPELL, BARAN and WESSLER 1988). In order to see if this finding is applicable to other grasses, the restriction fragments that comprise the waxy gene and flanking DNA were compared among six Wx and ten wx alleles.

Digestion of the cloned Wx gene isolated from the strain Labelle with either PstI, EcoRI, HindIII, SstI, BamHI or SalI produces the fragments displayed in Figure 4. These fragments span a region of approximately 25 kb which includes the 4 kb of the Wx transcription unit and 7 kb and 14 kb flanking, respectively, the 5' and 3' ends of the transcription unit. From the restriction maps shown in Figure 4, it can be seen that digestion with either EcoRI, PstI or SalI (group I) produces fragments that contain predominantly the transcription unit whereas digestion with HindIII, SstI or BamHI (group II) produces larger fragments extending into adjacent sequences.

To identify insertions or deletions larger than 50 bp, genomic DNA, isolated from each of the 16 strains, was first digested with at least one enzyme from group I and one from group II. Following gel electrophoresis and transfer to filters, Southern blots were probed with the rice 6-kb EcoRI fragment, which



FIGURE 3.—Comparative restriction maps of the maize (HY) and rice (Labelle) waxy genes and the positions of the maize probes used in this study. The restriction map of a 6-kb *Eco*RI rice genomic fragment which contains most, or all, of the *Wx* transcription unit is aligned with the maize gene. Restriction sites in common between rice and maize are shown by the connecting lines. The position of exons and other landmarks within the maize *Wx* gene were determined by KLOSGEN *et al.* (1986). Asterisks indicate maize restriction sites separated by less than 50 bp. At our level of resolution we cannot determine whether there are one or two corresponding sites in the rice gene. The relative positions of the maize probes used in this study are also shown; probe 1 is a 3.2-kb *Sall* fragment. Restriction sites are abbreviated as follows: A. *Aval*; B. *Bam*HI; E, *Eco*RI; P, *Pst*I; S, *Sal*I; and Ss, *Sst*I.



FIGURE 4.—Restriction fragments within and flanking the Wx gene of the rice strain Labelle. The presence or absence of these fragments in other strains is summarized in Table 1 and Figure 5. The 3' Sall fragment is not resolved on gels and may be extremely large. The arrow indicates the approximate limits of the Wx transcription unit.

can detect all of the fragments displayed in Figure 4. Comparison of eight of the 16 strains digested with three enzymes is shown in Figure 5. These data and the results from additional Southern blots were compared to the Labelle fragments (Figure 4) and are summarized in Table 1.

Of the six strains containing Wx alleles that were analyzed in this manner, we detected only two restriction fragments that differed from those in Figure 4; a 6.8-kb SstI fragment in IR36 (vs. 6.1 kb) (Figure 5, lanes 1 and 2) and a 15-kb BamHI fragment in M101 (vs. 12.5 kb). In both cases insertions or deletions are not associated with the polymorphism because digestion with another enzyme that generates fragments containing these polymorphic regions reveals the standard pattern. Comparison of the ten strains containing wx alleles also reveals only two different fragments: a 2.0-kb PstI fragment in wxCi 9972 (vs. 2.3) kb) (Figure 5, lane 4) and a 15-kb BamHI fragment in M101wx (vs. 12.5 kb). Again, insertions or deletions are ruled out as the causative agent of these mutations since digestion with other enzymes does not produce unique fragments. In addition, the 15-kb BamHI fragment in M101wx is also present in its progenitor, M101.

DISCUSSION

The waxy locus is genetically well characterized in several diverse plant species. With the recent isolation and analysis of several normal and mutant maize waxy genes (SHURE, WESSLER and FEDOROFF 1983; FEDO-ROFF, WESSLER and SHURE 1983; SCHWARZ-SOMMER et al. 1984; BEHRENS et al. 1984; WESSLER and VAR-AGONA 1985; KLOSGEN et al. 1986; WESSLER et al. 1986; WESSLER, BARAN and VARAGONA 1987), the cloning of the rice gene provides a unique opportunity

Wx wx 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 EcoRI: 6 PstI: 3.2 2.3 2.0 -1.2 SstI: 16 6.8 6.1

FIGURE 5.—Southern blot analysis of waxy genes in several strains of rice containing either Wx or wx alleles. For each lane, six micrograms of genomic DNA were digested with either EcoRI, PstI, or SstI, blotted and probed with the 6-bp EcoRI fragment shown in Figure 3. The large SstI band in lane 2 is faint in this exposure, but is present in longer exposures. In these experiments the smallest fragments resulting from digestion with PstI, SstI, or BamHI were run off the end of the gel to enhance resolution of the larger fragments. Small variations in band migration reflect differences in the actual amount of genomic DNA in each lane a srevealed by ethidium bromide staining. Lane 1, IR 36; lane 2, Labelle; lane 3, Nato; lane 4, wxCI 9972; lane 5, wxPI 260662; lane 6, wxPI 291667; lane 7, wxPI 291656; lane 8, wxIR 29.

to compare non-mutant and mutant gene structure and gene expression in these distantly related grasses.

The waxy gene in maize and rice: In this report we have described the identification and cloning of a 15kb HindIII fragment from the rice strain Labelle that contains a region that is homologous with the maize Wx gene. The following evidence leads us to conclude that this fragment contains the rice Wx gene: (1) under stringent hybridization conditions, this is the only rice DNA that hybridizes with maize Wx probes, (2) restriction maps of the two genes are very similar when exonic DNA is compared, and (3) when used as a probe of Northern blots, this fragment or subclones from it detect only a single transcript of 2.4 kb among poly(A) RNA isolated from immature seed of nonmutant maize or rice. A transcript of this length is not seen when RNA from two rice wx mutants is examined.

DNA sequence similarity between the maize and rice genes is reflected in the antigenic relatedness of

TABLE 1

Summary of restriction analysis of waxy alleles in rice^a

waxy Allele	EcoRI	HindIII	SstI	PstI	SalI	BamHI
Wx:						
Labelle	1	1	1	1	1	
IR36	1	1	2	1	1	1
Bluebonnet 50	1				1	1
Pecos	1	1				
M101	1	1	1			4
Nato	1	1	1	1		
wx:						
M101wx	1	1			1	4
wxCI 9972	1	1	1	3		1
wxPI 260662	1	1	1	1	1	1
wxPI 291667	1	1	1	1	1	1
wxPI 291656	1	1	1	1	1	1
wxIR 29	1	1	1	1	1	1
wxPI 224836					1	1
wxPI 248486					1	1
wxPI 434619					1	1
wxGlut Znth 205					1	1

^a The numbers indicate the following: 1, This strain, with this enzyme, has the same fragments as Labelle; 2, IR36 has a 6.8-kb SstI band replacing the 6.1-kb band; 3, wxCI 9972 has a 2.0-kb PstI band replacing the 2.3-kb band; 4, M101 and M101wx have a 15-kb BamHI band replacing the 12.5-kb band.

the *Wx* proteins. Antiserum raised against the maize *Wx* protein cross-reacts with a single rice polypeptide synthesized *in vitro* from *Wx* poly(A) RNA. This polypeptide is approximately the same size as the maize *Wx* preprotein and was either absent or altered when the *in vitro* translation products encoded by the poly(A) RNA isolated from two rice strains containing *wx* alleles were analyzed.

Despite the similarities between the maize and rice genes in the regions that encode the mature Wx protein (see Figure 3), other regions of the gene do not appear to be highly conserved. First, probe 1 (Figure 3) which contains the maize Wx promoter region and the first (untranslated) exon does not hybridize with the rice 6-kb EcoRI fragment or any part of the 15kb HindIII fragment under conditions that would detect up to 30% sequence mismatch (Figure 4). Second, preliminary DNA sequence analysis of selected regions of the rice gene indicate that although there is between 85% and 90% nucleotide identity when regions that encode the mature proteins are compared, identity between the putative transit peptides (encoded by part of exon 2, Figure 3) is limited to a few short blocks of sequence (R. J. OKAGAKI and S. R. WESSLER, unpublished results). This peptide is probably responsible for targeting the Wx protein to its final destination in the amyloplasts of the developing endosperm (SHURE, WESSLER and FEDOROFF 1983, KLOSGEN et al. 1986). A comparison of nuclear-encoded chloroplast proteins from diverse plant species, such as the small subunit of ribulose 1,5-biphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase, also reveals highly conserved

mature proteins and transit sequences that are less conserved (KARLIN-NEUMANN and TOBIN 1986).

waxy mutations and RFLP: One striking difference between the rice and maize waxy genes revealed by this study is the level of genetic variation observed when six Wx alleles and ten wx alleles are compared by Southern blot analysis. Whereas insertions and deletions account for three-fourths of the spontaneous maize waxy lesions analyzed in one study (WESSLER and VARAGONA 1985), we could not detect a single clear-cut example of insertion or deletion among the rice wx alleles examined in this study. Similarly, comparison of maize Wx alleles identified four different 5' flanking regions when 12 inbred lines were compared. These differences resulted from insertions and deletions outside of the transcription unit (WESSLER and VARAGONA 1985). Differences in the 3' flanking regions were even more complicated and could not be deciphered by genomic Southern blot analysis. It is conceivable that each of the 12 lines is unique for the region of the chromosome containing the maize Wx gene and flanking sequences (M. J. VARAGONA and S. R. WESSLER, unpublished results). In contrast, the rice waxy gene is nearly invariant among the six Wx alleles examined. The limited RFLP detected is consistent with single base changes or very small insertions or deletions that add or remove restriction sites rather than the gross changes found in maize.

There are several possible reasons for the observed difference in genomic diversity among the *waxy* genes from rice and maize:

1. The waxy gene may be unusual and not representative of the types of changes that occur at other genes. In maize this is clearly not the case since the diversity observed among waxy alleles is also seen when alleles of adh1 (JOHNS, STROMMER and FREELING 1983, SACHS *et al.* 1986), *sh* (BURR *et al.* 1983; ZACK, FERL and HANNAH 1986), and *bz* (RALSTON, ENGLISH and DOONER 1988) are compared.

2. The variation in rice may not have been adequately sampled. Since the pedigrees of most of the strains analyzed in this study are not known, it is conceivable that some strains had common ancestors and now contain identical waxy alleles. Although this is possible for a few of the strains, it cannot be true for most of them because differences in the waxy gene or its gene products are observed. For example, we have detected a few instances of RFLP among the 16 strains examined. In addition, Northern blot analysis of RNA from four of the waxy mutants listed in Table 1 reveals wx messages that differ in size and abundance, suggesting that they are independent mutations (Figure 2; M101wx, 2.4 kb, PI291667, 3.5 kb) (R. J. OKAGAKI and S. R. WESSLER, unpublished results). These mRNAs are encoded by genes that are indistinguishable from Wx alleles at the level of Southern blot analysis. The variability of sizes may result from mutations that alter normal splicing or normal transcription initiation or termination. Finally, unlike the maize *waxy* alleles analyzed previously, which represent a narrow geographical sampling (North American inbreds), the rice lines examined in this study were collected throughout the Asian continent.

3. The differences may reflect a real distinction between maize and rice. The examples of gross genomic differences observed when maize genes are compared generally results from transposable elements or DNA insertions (SACHS et al. 1986; SPELL, BARAN and WESSLER 1988; RALSTON, ENGLISH and DOONER 1988). The absence of this diversity among the waxy alleles of rice may indicate either that rice has fewer transposable elements or that the elements it has transpose less frequently. In this regard it is interesting to note that three rice wx alleles that have a high frequency of germinal reversion to a nonmutant phenotype do not have detectable transposable elements within or near the wx gene (T. BUREAU, G. KHUSH, R. J. OKAGAKI and S. R. WESSLER, unpublished results). This is in contrast to all other unstable alleles analyzed to date in maize and other plants where transposable elements have been shown to be responsible for gene instability.

Recently, MCCOUCH et al. (1988) reported that 78% of random rice genomic probes detected polymorphism between two rice cultivars. They attribute a significant proportion of these polymorphisms to insertions/deletions. Although these results appear to be at odds with our findings, the differences may reflect the fact that they, for the purposes of their study, selected cultivars that displayed the highest levels of polymorphism and discarded those that did not. In addition, it is likely that the vast majority of probes used in their study were not genes (G. Ko-CHERT, personal communication) and thus, the regions of the genome that were assayed may be subjected to different selection pressures than those exerted on active genes such as waxy. Finally, consistent with our results was their finding that the level of polymorphism detected in rice was lower than that found in maize.

We thank GEORGE BARAN and MICHAEL MISHKIND for technical assistance, ANDY TULL for growing the rice plants, GARY KOCHERT for sharing unpublished results, GLENN GALAU, ALAN JAWORSKI and GARY KOCHERT for critical reading of the manuscript. This work was supported by a grants from the Rockefeller Foundation and the National Institutes of Health (GM32528) to S.R.W.

LITERATURE CITED

- BEHRENS, U., N. FEDOROFF, A. LAIRD, M. MULLER-NEUMANN, P. STARLINGER and J. YODER, 1984 Cloning of the Zea mays controlling element Ac from the wx-m7 allele. Mol. Gen. Genet. 194: 346–347.
- BURR, B., S. V. EVOLA, F. A. BURR and J. S. BECKMANN, 1983 The application of restriction fragment length polymorphism to

plant breeding, pp. 45-60. In: *Genetic Engineering: Principles and Methods*, Vol. 5, Edited by J. SETLOW and A. HOLLAENDER. Plenum Press, New York.

- CHOMCZYNSKI, P., and P. K. QASBA, 1984 Alkaline transfer of DNA to plastic membrane. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 122: 340-344.
- ENQUIST, L. W., and R. A. WEISBERG, 1977 A genetic analysis of the *att-int-xis* region of coliphage lambda. J. Mol. Biol. 111: 97-120.
- FEDOROFF, N., S. WESSLER and M. SHURE, 1983 Isolation of the transposable maize controlling elements Ac and Ds. Cell 35: 235-242.
- GRIST, D. H., 1986 *Rice*, Ed. 6. pp. 106-107, Longman, New York.
- JOHNS, M. A., J. N. STROMMER and M. FREELING, 1983 Exceptionally high levels of restriction site polymorphism in DNA near the maize Adh1 gene. Genetics 105: 733– 743.
- KARLIN-NEUMANN, G. A., and E. M. TOBIN, 1986 Transit peptides of nuclear-encoded chloroplast proteins share a common amino acid framework. EMBO J. 5: 9–13.
- KLOSGEN, R. B., A. GIERL, Z. SCHWARZ-SOMMER and H. SAEDLER, 1986 Molecular analysis of the waxy locus of Zea mays. Mol. Gen. Genet. 203: 237-244.
- LAEMMLI, U. K., 1970 Cleavage of structural proteins during the assembly of the head of bacteriophage T4. Nature 227: 680– 685.
- LASKEY, R. A., and A. D. MILLS, 1975 Quantitative film detection of ³H and ¹⁴C in polyacrylamide gels by fluorography. Eur. J. Biochem. 56: 335–341.
- LI, H. W., S. WANG and P.-Z. YEH, 1965 A preliminary note on the fine structure analysis of glutinous gene in rice. Bot. Bull. Acad. Sin. 6: 101–105.
- MCCOUCH, S., G. KOCHERT, Z. YU, Z. WANG, G. KHUSH, R. COFF-MAN, and S. TANKSLEY, 1988 Molecular mapping of the rice nuclear genome. Theor. Appl. Genet. (in press).
- MURATA, T., T. SUGIYAMA and T. AKAZAWA, 1965 Enzymic mechanism of starch synthesis in glutinous rice grains. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 18: 371-376.
- NELSON, O. E., and H. W. RINES, 1962 The enzymatic deficiency in the waxy mutant of maize. Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun. 9: 297-300.
- PARNELL, F. R., 1921 Note on the detection of segregation by examination of the pollen of rice. J. Genet 11: 209–212.

RALSTON, E. J., J. J. ENGLISH and H. K. DOONER, 1988 Sequence

of three *bronze* alleles of maize and correlation with the genetic fine structure. Genetics **119**: 185–197.

- RIGBY, P. W. J., M. DIECKMANN, C. RHODES and P. BERG, 1977 Labeling deoxyribonucleic acid to high specific activity in vitro by nick translation with DNA polymerase I. J. Mol. Biol. 113: 237-251.
- SACHS, M. M., E. S. DENNIS, W. L. GERLACH and W. J. PEACOCK, 1986 Two alleles of maize alcohol dehydrogenase 1 have 3' structural and poly(A) addition polymorphisms. Genetics 113: 449-467.
- SANO, Y., 1984 Differential regulation of waxy gene expression in rice endosperm. Theor. Appl. Genet. 68: 467–473.
- SANO, Y., M. KATSUMATA and K. OKUNO, 1986 Genetic studies of speciation in cultivated rice. 5. Inter- and intraspecific differentiation in the waxy gene expression in rice. Euphytica 35: 1-9.
- SCHWARZ-SOMMER, ZS., A. GIERL, R. B. KLOSGEN, U. WIENAND, P. PETERSON and H. SAEDLER, 1984 The Spin (En) transposable element controls the excision of a 2 kb DNA insert at the wxm8 locus of Zea mays. EMBO J. 3: 1021-1028.
- SHURE, M., S. WESSLER and N. FEDOROFF, 1983 Molecular identification and isolation of the Waxy locus in maize. Cell 35: 225-233.
- SPELL, M. L., G. BARAN and S. R. WESSLER, 1988 An RFLP adjacent to the maize waxy gene has the structure of a transposable element. Mol. Gen. Genet. 211: 364–366.
- THOMAS, P. S., 1980 Hybridization of denatured RNA and small DNA fragments transferred to nitrocellulose. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 77: 5201-5205.
- WESSLER, S. R., and M. J. VARAGONA, 1985 Molecular basis of mutations of the waxy locus of maize: correlation with the fine structure genetic map. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 82: 4177– 4181.
- WESSLER, S. R., G. BARAN and M. VARAGONA, 1987 The maize transposable element *Ds* is spliced from RNA. Science 237: 916–918.
- WESSLER, S. R., G. BARAN, M. VARAGONA and S. L. DELLAPORTA, 1986 Excision of Ds produces waxy proteins with a range of enzymatic activities. EMBO J. 5: 2427–2432.
- YANISCH-PERON, C., J. VIEIRA and J. MESSING, 1985 Improved M13 phage cloning vectors and host strains: nucleotide sequences of the M13mp18 and pUC19 vectors. Gene 33: 103– 119.
- ZACK, C. D., R. J. FERL and L. C. HANNAH, 1986 DNA sequence of a *Shrunken* allele of maize: evidence for visitation by insertional sequences. Maydica **31**: 5–16.

Communicating editor: W. F. SHERIDAN