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#### Abstract

The wx-B2 mutation results from a 128-bp transposable element-like insertion in exon 11 of the maize Waxy gene. Surprisingly, 11 maize genes and one barley gene in the GenBank and EMBL data bases were found to contain similar elements in flanking or intron sequences. Members of this previously undescribed family of elements, designated Tourist, are short (133 bp on average), have conserved terminal inverted repeats, are flanked by a 3-bp direct repeat, and display target site specificity. Based on estimates of repetitiveness of three Tourist elements in maize genomic DNA, the copy number of the Tourist element family may exceed that of all previously reported eukaryotic inverted repeat elements. Taken together, our data suggest that Tourist may be the maize equivalent of the human Alu family of elements with respect to copy number, genomic dispersion, and the high frequency of association with genes.


## INTRODUCTION

Two general classes of transposable elements have been described in plants (Finnegan, 1989; Gierl and Saedler, 1992; Grandbastien, 1992). One class, exemplified by the retroposon Cin4 of maize and the retrotransposon Tht1 of tobacco (Shepherd et al., 1984; Grandbastien et al., 1989), transposes via an RNA intermediate. The second class of transposable elements is characterized by terminal inverted repeats and transposes via a DNA intermediate (Gierl and Saedler, 1992). For elements in this second class, such as Ac/Ds, En/Spm, and $M u$ of maize (reviewed in Fedoroff, 1989; Chandler and Hardeman, 1992) and Tam3 of snapdragon (reviewed in Coen et al., 1989), activity has been demonstrated directly by their ability to excise and insert. In contrast, several DNA sequences with the structural features of inverted repeat transposable elements have been suggested to be active solely on the basis of allelic polymorphism. For instance, Tpc1 was found in one of two chalcone synthase alleles of parsley (Herrman et al., 1988) and Tst1 in only one member of the patatin class II gene family of potato (Koster-Topfer et al., 1990).

The Waxy $(W x)$ gene of maize has served as an excellent molecular trap for a potpourri of transposable elements. $W x$ encodes a starch granule-bound ADP glucose glucosyltransferase and is expressed in pollen, endosperm, and embryo sac. The viable and easily visualized $W \times$ phenotype has facilitated the isolation of more than 50 mutant $w x$ alleles. Most of these have been mapped at both the genetic and molecular levels. Wessler and Varagona (1985) found that of 16 spontaneous wx mutants, seven were due to insertions. Six of these were due to large insertions ( $>4.5 \mathrm{~kb}$ ), which were subsequently identified as retrotransposons (Varagona et al., 1992). The seventh, $w x-B 2$, is due to an insertion of approximately 150 bp in the $3^{\prime}$ half of the $W x$ transcription unit.

[^0]In this study, we have characterized the $w x-B 2$ insertion and found that it corresponds to an unusual inverted repeat transposable element that we have designated Tourist-Zm1. Computer-assisted data base searches revealed that this insertion is a member of a very large family of previously undescribed elements. We have named this family of elements Tourist. Surprisingly, 11 maize genes reported to the GenBank and EMBL data bases were found to be flanked by or to harbor a previously unrecognized Tourist element. Tourist elements are small ( 133 bp on average), have conserved terminal inverted repeats, and display target site specificity. The shared terminal inverted repeat sequence, 3-bp target site, and other structural characteristics suggest that all Tourist elements are mobilized by the same transposase and thus represent a new family of plant inverted repeat transposable elements. Furthermore, based on the frequent occurrence of Tourist elements in sequenced maize genes and estimates of the repetitiveness of Tourist elements in maize genomic DNA, we suggest that the copy number of the Tourist element family may exceed that of all previously reported eukaryotic inverted repeat transposable elements.

## RESULTS

Structural Characteristics of the Insertion Located in Exon 11 of the Maize wx-B2 Allele

The $w x-B 2$ allele is a spontaneous null mutant derived from the maize inbred line W23 (Brink and Nilan, 1952; Nelson, 1968). Its somatic and germinal stability allowed Neison (1968, 1976) to place $w x-B 2$ on a fine structure genetic map of the $W x$ locus. The accuracy of Nelson's map has been confirmed
by its excellent correlation with the Wx physical map (Wessler and Varagona, 1985). Restriction site mapping showed that the $w x-B 2$ mutation corresponded to an approximately 150 bp insertion within an 800-bp Sall fragment in the $3^{\prime}$ half of the $W \times$ transcription unit. To isolate this insertion, a DNA fragment was amplified by polymerase chain reaction from genomic DNA of a wx-B2-containing line using primers located in exons 10 and 12 of the maize Wx gene, as shown in Figure 1A. A single band of approximately 800 bp was observed on an ethidium bromide-stained agarose gel, corresponding to the predicted length of the insertion and its associated Wx flanking sequences (data not shown).

The DNA sequence of the amplified fragment indicates that the $w x-B 2$ insertion is 128 bp in length and is located within exon 11 at position 2650 with respect to the start of $W x$ transcription (Figure 1B; Klosgen et al., 1986). This insertion, designated Tourist-Zm1, has the structural features of an inverted repeat transposable element. The 14 bp at its termini form a perfect terminal inverted repeat (TIR). The TIR is flanked by a 3-bp duplication of the Wx target site $5^{\prime}-$ GCA-3'. The subterminal region of Tourist-Zm1 contains seven copies of the pentamer $5^{\prime}$-GGATT-3' (Figure 1B, underlined sequence). In addition, the subterminal sequences form an imperfect inverted repeat (subterminal inverted repeat or SIR) separated from the TIR by 9 bp , as shown in Figure 2.

The TIR and SIR may allow the Tourist-Zm1 sequence to form a hairpin structure (Figure 2). Other foldback transposable
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wX-B2 TCCCAGGCAGGCTTGTCGGTTAGGGCTGGATTGAGGGGGATTGGAGTGGATTAAATCC W23 TCCCAGGCA-
ССТTCTATACAAATTTAAATAGGAGGGGATITAATCCCCTCCAATCCCTCXTCAAACCCCT

$$
\xrightarrow[\text { TCA }]{A A C C G A A C A A G C C O G C A A G G T G C G C G C}
$$

Figure 1. Position and DNA Sequence of the $w x-B 2$ Insertion (Tourist-Zm1).
(A) Site of the $w x-B 2$ insertion with respect to the $3^{\prime}$ half of the $W x$ gene. The solid arrows ( $a$ and $b$ ) indicate the position of the primers used to amplify the $w x-B 2$ insertion and flanking sequences.
(B) Sequence comparison between $w x-B 2$ and the progenitor $W x$ gene in the W23 inbred line (positions 2642 to 2660; Klosgen et al., 1986). Terminal inverted repeats are enclosed by open arrows and solid arrows are drawn over the 3-bp direct repeats. The seven subterminal repeats, $5^{\prime}$-GGATT-3 ${ }^{\prime} / 5^{\prime}$-AATCC- $3^{\prime}$, are underlined. Dashed line indicates nucleotides missing in the $W \times$ gene of the W23 inbred line relative to $w x=B 2$.


Figure 2. Potential DNA Secondary Structure of Tourist-Zm1.
The stem-loop structure was generated using the FOLD program (UWGCG). Boxed areas indicate the position of the seven $5^{\prime}$-GGATT$3^{\prime} / 5^{\prime}-$ AATCC-3' repeats. The direct repeat $5^{\prime}$-GCA- $3^{\prime}$ is noted by solid arrows.
elements have been described previously including FB (foldback) of Drosophila (Smith and Corces, 1991), Tc6 of Caenorhabditis elegans (Dreyfus and Emmons, 1991), and TU of sea urchin (Hoffman-Liebermann et al., 1989). The TIRs of FB and $T U$ are composed of short tandem repeats. Tourist-Zm1
lacks any significant sequence homology to these elements, and its TIR does not appear to be composed of tandem repeats.

## Identification of Sequences Similar to Tourist-Zm1 in Maize and Barley

Computer-based sequence similarity searches revealed that Tourist-Zm1 has no significant sequence identity to any known transposable element. To our surprise, however, sequence similarity was found among several previously sequenced maize genes (and pseudogenes) and with one barley gene. Closer examination revealed that these genes in fact contain small, previously unreported elements with structural and sequence similarities to Tourist-Zm1. These elements have been designated as Tourist family members, as shown in Table 1. With one exception, Tourist elements were retrieved from the GenBank (release 72.0) and EMBL (release 31.0) nucleic acid data bases using the query sequences shown in Table 1. Tourist-Zm4 was identified by comparing the Tourist-Zm1 sequence to the sequence of the maize $R$ gene, $L c$ ( $S$. Ludwig, L. Habera, and S. Wessler, unpublished data).

The location and structural characteristics of the Tourist elements are listed in Table 1. All Tourist elements are
approximately the same size ( $133 \pm 9 \mathrm{bp}$ ), have one to seven copies of the pentamer $5^{\prime}$-GGATT-3' subterminal to their TIRs, and, with the exception of Tourist-Zm1 (the wx-B2 insertion), are located in noncoding regions. The calculated stabilization of free energy value ( $\Delta G^{\circ}$ ) for each element suggests that Tourist elements have the potential to form stable intrastrand DNA secondary structures.

Percent sequence similarity between individual Tourist elements is given in Table 2. In each case, the orientation that consistently showed the highest sequence similarity to other Tourist elements was used in a multiple sequence alignment, as shown in Figure 3. Tourist family members are most similar at the element TIRs, which have the consensus sequence 5'-GGCCTTGTTCGGTT-3'. The similarity between TIRs of individual Tourist elements (5' TIR versus $3^{\prime}$ TIR) and between each TIR sequence and the consensus is presented in Table 3. Internal sequences appear to be conserved primarily within subsets of Tourist elements (Figure 3). For instance, TouristZm2, Tourist-Zm4, and Tourist-Zm5 are more similar to each other than they are to other Tourist elements.

Alignment of the sequences flanking the Tourist elements reveals an apparent sequence preference for insertion sites, as shown in Table 4. Tourist-Zm7, Tourist-Zm9, Tourist-Zm10, and Tourist-Zm12 were omitted from this alignment because

Table 1. Tourist Comparison

| Element Designation ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Query Sequence ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Element Location ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Element position ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Size (bp) | No. of GGATTs ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $\Delta G^{\circ} \mathrm{kcal} / \mathrm{mol}^{\text {f }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tourist-Zm1 | - | $w x$-B2 (9S) | Exon 11 (+2650) | 128 | 7 | -69.4 |
| Tourist-Zm2 | Zm1 | pseudo-Gpa2 | $5^{\prime}$ flanking ( $-2439{ }^{\text {¢ }}$ ) | 137 | 3 | -40.2 |
| Tourist-Zm3 | 2m1 | Adht-Cm (1L) | $3^{\prime}$ flanking ( $+4025^{*}$ ) | 126 | 3 | -39.2 |
| Tourist-Zm4 | Zm1 | Lc (10L) | Intron 2 (+864*) | 137 | 1 | -31.8 |
| Tourist-Zm5 | Zm1 | KD18 | $3^{\prime}$ flanking ( $+1548^{*}$ ) | 142 | 5 | -32.0 |
| Tourist-Zm6 | Zm1 | Aux311 | Intron 4 ( $+2749{ }^{\text {a }}$ ) | 131 | 6 | -28.8 |
| Tourist-Zm7 | Zm6 | Bz-McC (9S) | $3^{\prime}$ flanking ( $+1937{ }^{\dagger}$ ) | 134 | 3 | -20.1 |
| Tourist-Zm8 | Zm1 | Adh1-1S (1L) | $3^{\prime}$ flanking ( $+4498{ }^{*}$ ) | 137 | 4 | -34.0 |
| Tourist-Zm9 | Zm8 | pseudo-Gpa1 | $5^{\prime}$ flanking ( $-572^{\dagger}$ ) | 130 | 3 | -31.9 |
| Tourist-Zm10 | Zm1 | Zc2 | 5' flanking ( $-649{ }^{\text {t }}$ ) | 132 | 1 | -44.2 |
| Tourist-Zm11 | TIR | Aux311 | Putative promoter (-299') | 125 | 3 | - 26.0 |
| Tourist-Zm12 | Zm8 | NBP1 (7L) | Intron $2\left(+1545{ }^{\text {t }}\right.$ ) | 137 | 3 | -60.6 |
| Tourist-Hv1 | 2m1 | Acl1 (7) | $3^{\prime}$ flanking ( $+2718^{*}$ ) | 128 | 6 | -35.7 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Zm, Zea mays; Hv, Hordeum vulgare.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Computer-based sequence similarity searches were performed using the FASTDB and FASTA programs in the Intelligenetics and UWGCG computer program suites, respectively. TIA, Zm 1 terminal inverted repeat sequence.
${ }^{c}$ Gene loci encode the following: wx, ADP glucose glucosyl-transferase (Klosgen et al., 1986; this paper); pseudo-Gpa2, pseudogene of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (Quigley et al., 1989); Adh1-C ${ }^{m}$, alcohol dehydrogenase $C^{m}$ allele (Osterman and Dennis, 1989); Lc, member of R gene family (Ludwig et al., 1989; S. Ludwig, L. Habera, and S. Wessler, unpublished data); KD18, oleosin (Qu and Huang, 1990); Aux311, auxin-binding protein (Yu and Lazarus, 1991); Bz-McC (also -W22 and -R alleles), UDP glucose flavonoid glucosyl-transferase (Ralston et al., 1988); Adh1-1S, alcohol dehydrogenase $1 S$ allele (Sachs et al., 1986); pseudo-Gpa1, pseudogene of glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (Quigley et al., 1989); Zc2 (also 27kD alleles), zein (Reina et al., 1990; Das et al., 1991); NBP1, nucleic acid-binding protein (Cook and Walker, 1992); Acl1, acyl carrier protein (Hansen and von Wettstein-Knowles, 1991). Chromosome position, if known, is indicated in parentheses.
N Numbers in parentheses give the Tourist element position proximal to the start of transcription (*) or translation ( ${ }^{\dagger}$ ).
e The number of $5^{\prime}$-GGATT-3' repeats includes the occurrence of the reverse complement sequence $5^{\prime}$-AATCC- $3^{\prime}$.
' Optimal folding of DNA sequences was performed using the FOLD program (UWGCG). Free energy values were determined for conditions at 1 M NaCl at $37^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. $\Delta G^{\circ}$, stabilization free energy.

| Tourist | Tourist |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Zm1 | Zm2 | Zm3 | Zm4 | Zm5 | Zm6 | Zm7 | $2 m 8$ | Zm9 | 2m10 | Zm11 | Zm12 | HV1 |
| Zm1 | 100 | 66 | 64 | 67 | 59* | 66* | 58 | 64 | 57 | 73 | 58 | 46 | 73* |
| Zm2 |  | 100 | 66 | 79 | 88* | 70* | 65 | 63 | 66 | 60 | 66 | 56 | 69* |
| Zm3 |  |  | 100 | 59 | 68* | 56* | 58 | 66 | 61 | 65 | 69 | 54 | 54* |
| Zm4 |  |  |  | 100 | 78* | 67* | 68 | 59 | 60 | 60 | 69 | 64 | 57* |
| Zm5 |  |  |  |  | 100 | 74 | 63* | 69* | 71* | 58* | 64* | $69^{*}$ | 68 |
| Zm6 |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 76* | 57* | $60^{*}$ | 58* | 56* | 66* | 69 |
| Zm7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 56 | 53 | 62 | 67 | 61 | 62* |
| Zm8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 81 | 57 | 58 | 78 | $65^{*}$ |
| Zm9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 55 | 57 | 64 | 68* |
| Zm10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 59 | 51 | 61* |
| Zm11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 63 | $68^{*}$ |
| Zm12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 | 54* |
| Hv1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 100 |

a Tourist sequences were aligned using the GAP program (UWGCG) using a gap penalty of 1.00 and a gap length penalty of 0.30 . An asterisk $\left(^{*}\right)$ indicates that the reverse complement of one of the rourist elements was used to obtain an optimal alignment.
they are not flanked by an obvious target site duplication (Figure 3). The sequences of the nine remaining elements have a target site consensus sequence of $5^{\prime}$-MNCNNTAARKNK-3' (Table 4). The central core of this sequence, the trimer 5'TAA-3', has presumably been duplicated upon element insertion in seven of 13 cases.

## Tourist Elements in Maize Adh1, Bz, and Zein Alleles

The locations of Tourist-Zm3 and Tourist-Zm8 correspond to two different insertion polymorphisms previously noted in the maize Adht alleles $1 S$ and $C^{m}$, as shown in Figure 4 (Sachs et al., 1986; Osterman and Dennis, 1989). There is no previous report, however, of either insertion polymorphism having the structure of a transposable element-like sequence. TouristZm3 corresponds to the insertion polymorphism located in the $3^{\prime}$ flanking sequences of the Adh1-Cm allele (Figure 4A; Osterman and Dennis, 1989). Like other members of the Tourist family, this element is flanked by the direct repeat $5^{\prime}$ TAA $-3^{\prime}$. The presence of only a single copy of $5^{\prime}$ TAA- $3^{\prime}$ in the Adh1-1S allele suggests that Adh1-1S is the progenitor condition and that this sequence was duplicated upon insertion of the TouristZm3 element. The second polymorphism is a 270 -bp insertion in the $3^{\prime}$ flanking sequences of the Adh1-1S allele (Figure 4B; Sachs et al., 1986). This insertion is composed of two identical Tourist elements (designated Tourist-Zm8) arranged in tandem and each flanked by the direct repeat $5^{\prime}$-TAA-3'. At the same position in the Adht-C ${ }^{m}$ allele is the sequence $5^{\prime}$-GCAA- $3^{\prime}$ flanked by the direct repeat $5^{\prime}$ TAA- $3^{\prime}$. Although this sequence is reminiscent of a transposable element footprint arising from Tourist-Zm8 excision, there is no additional evidence indicating
that Tourist elements excise or that excision produces transposable element footprints.

Identical Tourist elements are found at the same position in the $3^{\prime}$ flanking region of the maize Bronze ( Bz ) alleles McC , R, and W22 (Ralston et al., 1988). Interestingly, this element, designated Tourist-Zm7, has sustained an insertion of a Ds element in a fourth allele, bz-m4 D6856 (Klein et al., 1988). Tourist elements are also located at the same position in the $5^{\prime}$ flanking region of four different genes that encode the $27-\mathrm{kD}$ class of zeins (Reina et al., 1990; Das et al., 1991). These elements, collectively designated Tourist-Zm10, share approximately $90 \%$

Table 3. Nucleotide Similarity between Tourist TIRs

|  | Percent Similarity between |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Tourist | $5^{\prime}$ and $3^{\prime}$ TIR |  |
| $Z m 1$ | TIR Consensus and $5^{\prime}$ TIR/3' TIR $^{\mathrm{b}}$ |  |
| $Z m 2$ | 100 | $100 / 100$ |
| $Z m 3$ | 100 | $100 / 100$ |
| $Z m 4$ | 93 | $100 / 100$ |
| $Z m 5$ | 86 | $100 / 93$ |
| $Z m 6$ | 86 | $100 / 93$ |
| $Z m 7$ | 86 | $93 / 100$ |
| $Z m 8$ | 86 | $86 / 86$ |
| $Z m 9$ | 86 | $76 / 86$ |
| $Z m 10$ | 71 | $76 / 71$ |
| $Z m 11$ | 64 | $86 / 64$ |
| $Z m 12$ | 93 | $43 / 100$ |
| Hv1 | 86 | $73 / 80$ |
|  |  | $93 / 100$ |

a Orientation as indicated in references mentioned in Table 1.

- TIR consensus, 5'-GGCCTTGTTCGGTT-3'.


Figure 3. Multiple Sequence Alignment of the Tourist Family of Elements.
Tourist sequences were aligned using the PILEUP program (UWGCG) with a gap penalty of 1.00 and a gap length penalty of 0.30 . The positions of the direct repeats are indicated by solid arrows and the TIRs by open arrows. Conserved nucleotides are indicated by white letters on a black background.
sequence identity. The finding of Tourist elements in multiple Bronze and Zein alleles indicates that the elements were probably present in the common progenitors of these alleles.

## Tourist-Zm1, Tourist-Zm4, and Tourist-Hv1 Copy Number

As mentioned above, Tourist elements were detected in one of six maize genes sequenced to date. This frequent occurrence and the lack of identity between any two Tourist elements suggest that this is a very large element family. To obtain independent evidence for high copy number, the approximate numbers of Tourist-Zm1, Tourist-Zm4, and Tourist-Hv1 in maize genomic DNA were determined by slot blot hybridization, as shown in Figure 5. Hybridization stringencies that allowed for approximately $20 \%$ (low stringency) and $2 \%$ (high stringency) nucleotide mismatch were selected (Bolton and McCarthy, 1962; see Methods). At low stringency, all three elements appear to be highly repetitive (Tourist-Zm1, $\sim 5.0 \times 10^{4}$; Tourist-Zm4, $\sim 10^{3}$; Tourist-Hv1, $\sim 10^{3}$ ). At high stringency, however, only Tourist-Zm1 appears to be repetitive ( $\sim 10^{4}$ ). The copy numbers of the three Tourist elements analyzed were essentially the same in the HY and W23 inbreds with the
exception of Tourist-Zm4, which was approximately one-half as abundant in W23 (data not shown).

## Tourist-Zm1, Tourist-Zm4, and Tourist-Hv1 Species Distribution

Tourist-Zm1, Tourist-Zm4, and Tourist-Hv1 were also used as probes for DNA gel blots to determine the distribution of these elements among representative species of the subtribe Tripsacinae and in barley. At low-stringency conditions, Tourist-Zm1 and Tourist-Zm4 appear to be repeated in the genomes of Zea mays (HY and W23), teosinte (Z. Iuxurians), and Tripsacum dactyloides, as shown in Figures 6A and 6B. At high stringency, Tourist-Zm1 still appears to be repetitive in these grasses. In contrast, at high stringency, Tourist-Zm4 is present in what appears to be a single copy in the HY genome and is absent from the W23 genome. The identification of a sequence in one inbred line of maize but not in another is highly unusual. The Tourist-Zm4 element was originally identified within intron 2 of the $R$ gene Lc (Table 1). Because this region of other $R$ alleles has not been sequenced, we do not know if Tourist-Zm4 is in the same position of other $R$ genes as well. If Tourist-Zm4 insertion into $R$ occurred recently, then it would not be surprising

Table 4. Tourist Target Site Preference

| Tourist | Nucleotide Position ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | -6 | -5 | -4 | -3 | -2 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 |
| Zm1 | T | T | C | C | C | A | G | G | C | A | A | G | G | $T$ | G | C | G |
| Zm2 | A | G | C | A | T | A | T | T | A | A | A | C | A | G | T | A | G |
| Zm3 | G | T | A | T | C | G | G | T | A | A | G | G | C | T | T | C | G |
| Zm4 | T | T | C | T | C | T | C | T | A | C | G | T | G | G | T | C | A |
| Zm5 | A | A | A | T | C | C | T | T | A | A | G | T | G | G | G | T | T |
| Z m 6 | C | A | A | C | C | C | T | T | A | A | A | G | G | G | C | A | A |
| Zm8 | G | C | C | C | C | G | C | T | A | A | G | T | G | T | C | T | A |
| Zm11 | T | T | T | T | C | T | C | T | A | A | A | T | A | T | A | T | G |
| Hv1 | T | C | C | A | C | C | A | T | A | A | A | T | T | T | T | C | C |
| Consensus ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | N | N | M | N | C | N | N | T | A | A | R | K | $N$ | K | N | $N$ | N |

[^1]to find this element in a subset of the naturally occurring $R$ aileles. Thus, the W23 genome may contain an $R$ gene that lacks Tourist-Zm4, whereas the $R$ gene in the HY genome contains it. Finally, Tourist-Hv1 is more widely distributed than either
A
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1S GCTAAGCCTAGTTTGGATACTCTTGG.

$C^{m} \xrightarrow{\text { GCTAAGCAATAAGT }}$
Figure 4. Insertion Polymorphisms in Maize Adh1 Alleles Are Tourist Elements.
(A) Sequence comparison between $3^{\prime}$ flanking regions of the Adh1 alleles $C^{m}$ (positions 4020 to 4155; Osterman and Dennis, 1989) and 15 (positions 3815 to 3821; Sachs et al., 1985). Only the TIR (open arrows) of the 126 -bp Tourist-Zm3 insertion and the 3 -bp direct repeat (solid arrows) generated upon insertion are shown.
(B) A tandem repeat of two identical Tourist-Zm8 elements corresponds to the 270 -bp insertion polymorphism revealed when the $3^{\prime}$ flanking sequences of the Adht-1S (positions 4493 to 4779) and Adh1-C ${ }^{m}$ (positions 4824 to 4836) alleles are compared. As in (A), only the TIRs of each 137-bp Tourist-Zm8 element and the direct repeats flanking each element are shown.

Tourist-Zm1 or Tourist-Zm4 (Figure 6C). At high stringency, however, hybridization only occurs with barley genomic DNA and at a single copy level. This single band may correspond to the Acl1 gene from which the Tourist-Hv1 element was originally identified (Table 1).

## DISCUSSION

The maize $w x-B 2$ mutation is caused by the insertion of a previously unreported inverted repeat insertion element into exon 11 of the Wx transcription unit. This element has been named Tourist-Zm1 and is characterized by its small size (128 bp), a 3 -bp target site duplication, a 14-bp TIR, a subterminal pentamer repeat ( $5^{\prime}$-GGATT-3'), and the potential to form a hairpin structure. Eleven previously unreported Tourist-like elements were identified following computer-based sequence similarity searches. These elements have been designated as members of the Tourist family of elements because they share many of the structural characteristics of Tourist-Zm1 (Tables 1 to 4 and Figure 3). In addition, Tourist elements appear to have a target site preference (Table 4); seven of 13 Tourist elements have inserted into the trinucleotide $5^{\prime}$ TAA -3 '.

## Tourist Forms a Unique Family of Plant Inverted Repeat Transposable Elements

Previous investigators have grouped plant inverted repeat transposable elements into families based on TIR sequence, TIR size, and the number of base pairs duplicated upon insertion (families I, II, and III of Table 5). A comparison of the structural characteristics of these plant inverted repeat transposable elements with Tourist suggests that Tourist represents


Figure 5. Copy Number of Tourist-Zm1, Tourist-Zm4, and Tourist-Hv1.
(A) Tourist-Zm1.
(B) Tourist-Zm4.
(C) Tourist-Hv1.

Genomic DNA from the maize inbred line HY and standard DNA (std) (pB2119, pLcH3, or pgLH1) were serially diluted, transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane, probed with the Tourist elements given above, and washed at low (L) and then high (H) stringency. Only two dilutions of each DNA sample are shown.
a unique family. Although both Tourist and members of the CACTA family are flanked by a 3-bp direct repeat, the TIR sequence of Tourist shares no significant homology to the terminal sequence $5^{\prime}$-CACTA- $3^{\prime}$. Similarly, despite the fact that $M u$ and Tourist elements display internal sequence heterogeneity among family members, Mu and Tourist elements do not belong in the same family because their TIRs and the size of the target site duplication differ.

Two other characteristics distinguish the Tourist element family from other plant inverted repeat transposable elements. First, whereas other plant inverted repeat elements lack a target sequence preference, Tourist elements appear to prefer the target sequence $5^{\prime}$-TAA-3'. Secorid, Tourist elements are on average 133 bp in length, which is less than half the length of the smallest reported plant inverted repeat transposable element, dTph1 of petunia (283 bp; Gerats et al., 1990).

## Common Structural Features Suggest cis Requirements for Tourist Transposition

The $w x$ - $B 2$ mutation was first identified approximately 40 years ago by Nilan and Brink (1952). Recent insertion of Tourist-Zm1 into the maize $W x$ gene and the polymorphisms caused by insertion of Tourist-Zm3 and Tourist-Zm8 into the $3^{\prime}$ flanking regions of the maize Adh1 gene clearly indicate a history of Tourist mobility. In contrast, the stability of the wx-B2 mutant phenotype in a wide range of genetic backgrounds indicates that excision of Tourist-Zm1 and probably other Tourist elements, if it occurs, is rare.

Due to their limited coding capacity, the Tourist elements identified in this study almost certainly do not encode a transposase. Rather, Tourist elements at Wx and Adh1 were probably mobilized by transposase encoded at another chromosomal locus. Despite our inability to identify the gene(s) responsible for Tourist mobilization, we believe that the numerous conserved


Figure 6. Species Distribution of Tourist Elements.
(A) Tourist-Zm1.
(B) Tourist-Zm4.
(C) Tourist-Hv1.

Five micrograms of EcoRI-digested genomic DNA from two maize inbred lines (W23 and HY, a and b, respectively), Zea luxurians (teosinte, c), Tripsacum dactyloides (d), and barley (e) was electrophoresed through a $0.8 \%$ agarose gel, transferred onto a nylon membrane, probed with the Tourist elements given above, and washed at low (L) and then high $(H)$ stringency.

Table 5. Plant Inverted Repeat Transposable Element Families

| Family Name | Element Name (Host Organism) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Terminal Sequence Consensus ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | TIR (bp) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Target Site (bp) | Target Site Consensus ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. $A c / D s$ |  | YAGGG | 11-15 | 8 | None |
|  | Ac/Ds, Bg/rbg, ruq, rDt (maize) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tam3 (snapdragon) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tdph1 (petunia) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tpct (parsley) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Ips-r (pea) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tst1 (potato) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tat1 (Arabidopsis) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Gulfiver (Chlamydomonas) |  |  |  |  |
| II. CACTA |  | CACTA | 13-14 | 3 | None |
|  | En/Spm, Mpi1 (maize) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tam1, 2, 4, 7, 8, and 9 (snapdragon) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tgm1 (soybean) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Pis1 (pea) |  |  |  |  |
| III. Mu |  | GAGATAATTGCCA... | 185-220 | 9 | None |
|  | Mu1-9 (maize) |  |  |  |  |
| IV. Tourist |  | GGGCTTGTTCGGTT | 15-23 | 3 | TAA |
|  | Tourist-Zm1-12 (maize) |  |  |  |  |
|  | Tourist-HV1 (barley) |  |  |  |  |

a Structural characteristics of plant inverted repeat transposable element families were obtained from the following references: Ac/Ds family (Federoff, 1989; Ferris, 1989; Gerats et al., 1990; Peleman et al., 1991; Gierl and Saedler, 1992); CACTA family (Coen et al., 1989; Fedoroff, 1989; Nacken et al., 1991; Gierl and Saedler, 1992); Mu family (Chandler, 1992).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{Y}=\mathrm{T}$ or C .
c TIR, terminal inverted repeat.
d None, no target site specificity reported.
structural features of the Tourist family argue for mobilization by a single transposase or family of related transposases.

In general, Tourist elements share TIR sequence similarity, generate a 3-bp direct repeat upon insertion, and contain one or more copies of a subterminal pentamer repeat (Tables 1 and 3 and Figure 3). Previous studies of other elements have highlighted the importance of each of these features in transposase-element interactions. For example, TIRs are believed to be the sites of enzymatic cleavage by transposase. Elements that share similar TIRs (e.g., Ac, Tam3, and the Drosophila hobo or En/Spm, Tam1, and Tgm1) also display amino acid similarity among their putative transposases (Calvi et al., 1991; Nacken et al., 1991). Similarly, the length of the target site duplication is almost always characteristic of an element family (Table 5) and reflects the size of the transposasemediated staggered endonuclease cut required for element insertion. This duplication is 3 bp for all Tourist elements that are flanked by a direct repeat (Figure 3). In addition, the finding that seven of 13 Tourist element target sites have the same sequence, $5^{\prime}$ TAA- $3^{\prime}$, argues strongly for the involvement of a single transposase in Tourist element mobility. Finally, many elements have repeated sequences internal to their TIRs. In a few instances, these subterminal repeats have been implicated as sites for transposase binding. For example, Kunze
and Starlinger (1989) determined that the product of the Acencoded open reading frame "a" binds specifically to the Ac subterminal repeat 5'-AAACGG-3'. Moreover, Ac derivatives involving the deletion of some of these repeats have reduced transposition frequencies in transgenic tobacco (Coupland et al., 1988). Similarly, the Spm-encoded 7npA protein binds specifically to Spm subterminal repeats (5'-ACCGACACTCTTA-3), and deletions of these repeats reduce the frequency of Spm excision (Gierl et al., 1988). Although all Tourist elements have between one and seven copies of the pentamer repeat 5'-GGATT-3', the role of this pentamer and of any other sequence as a cis requirement for element mobility remain to be tested.

In addition to similarities in TIRs, direct repeats, and subterminal repeats, the Tourist elements identified in this study are remarkably homogeneous in length; all are $133 \pm 9 \mathrm{bp}$. Such length homogeneity is extremely unusual among nonautonomous elements; most are deletion derivatives of the autonomous element and thus form a heterogeneous collection with respect to their length. One notable exception is the Ds1 group of elements, which are about $90 \%$ conserved and approximately 400 bp long. It has been suggested that both size and sequence of Ds1 elements are important for transposition (MacRae and Clegg, 1992). The finding of length
homogeneity despite sequence heterogeneity among Tourist elements suggests that length may be an additional cis requirement for transposition of this element family.

## Tourist Elements Are Highly Repetitive in Maize

The detection of several Tourist elements within maize nuclear genomic sequences submitted to the GenBank (release 72.0) and EMBL (release 31.0) nucleic acid data bases strongly suggests that the Tourist family of elements is highly repetitive. In fact, one Tourist element has been found for every 30 kb of maize nuclear genomic sequence submitted to these data bases. Alternatively, one of six reported maize nuclear genes either harbor or are adjacent to a Tourist element. No plant element described to date is as frequently associated with genes. In addition, Tourist elements are dispersed throughout the genome, as evidenced by their presence in genes located on at least four different chromosome arms (Table 1).

The ubiquitous nature of Tourist elements is reminiscent of the human Alu family of retroposons. Like Tourist, members of the Alu family are often found in introns and flanking sequences of genes. When members of an element family are frequently encountered in genes, it follows that the genomic copy number of that family should be very high. This is certainly borne out for the Alu family: there are approximately 500,000 Alu elements in the human genome. In other words, Alu comprises $5 \%$ of the human genome and is found in one copy per 5000 bp on average.

Could the copy number of the Tourist family in the maize genome approach the number of Alu elements in the human genome? To assess the copy number of Tourist in the maize genome, we utilized both slot and DNA gel blot analyses. One problem in accurately determining Tourist element copy number results from the high degree of sequence heterogeneity among family members (Table 2 and Figure 3). In fact, in pairwise combinations, most elements differ by greater than 30\% (Table 2). If the stringency of hybridization is lowered to a level that would allow all Tourist elements in the genome to hybridize with a particular Tourist element probe, the subsequent nonspecific hybridization would yield a gross overestimate of copy number. Due to this sequence heterogeneity, we chose to estimate the magnitude of the Tourist family by approximating the copy number of individual Tourist elements under conditions determined to be stringent enough to preclude both nonspecific hybridization and cross hybridization between most of the sequenced Tourist elements.

The three elements, Tourist-Zm1, Tourist-Zm4, and TouristHv1, tested by this method appear to be highly repetitive in the maize genome; elements with greater than $80 \%$ sequence similarity with Tourist-Zm4 and Tourist-Hv1 are present in about $10^{3}$ copies, whereas elements with greater than $98 \%$ sequence similarity to Tourist-Zm1 are present at about $10^{4}$ copies. To the best of our knowledge, the copy number of the Tourist-Zm1 element alone exceeds that reported for any other eukaryotic inverted repeat transposable element. For compar-
ison, the copy number of Tourist-Zm1 is more than 100 times that reported for Ac/Ds, P, or Mu (Fedoroff et al., 1983; Smith and Corces, 1991; Chandler and Hardeman, 1992). This finding, coupled with the recent insertion of a Tourist-Zm1 element into the $W x$ gene, suggest a more recent history of activity for this subfamily.

In estimating Tourist family copy number, it is important to keep in mind that we have assayed only three of 13 known Tourist elements and that no two elements were encountered more than once in our computer-assisted data base searches. Thus, the actual copy number of the entire Tourist family is most likely substantially higher and could certainly account for the preponderance of Tourist elements detected in maize genes.

Taken together, our data indicate that Tourist may be the maize equivalent of the human Alu element with respect to copy number, dispersion, and the high frequency of association with genes. In this regard, it is relevant to note the important role Alu elements have played in restructuring the human genome. Alu elements have been implicated in altering gene expression, providing sites for homologous and illegitimate recombination, limiting gene conversion, and leading to the formation of macrotransposons (reviewed in Deininger, 1989). Now that the Tourist family has been detected and elements can be easily identified, it will be interesting to see if these elements are responsible for similar phenomena in maize.

## METHODS

## Plant Material and Genomic DNA Isolation

Maize lines containing the $w x-B 2$ allele were obtained from $O$. Nelson (University of Wisconsin, Madison). Maize genomic DNA was isolated as reported previously (Wessler and Varagona, 1985). Zea luxurians (teosinte) and Tripsacum dactyloides genomic DNA were obtained from S. White (University of Georgia, Athens), and Hordeum vulgare (Betzes barley) from L. Hansen (Carlsberg Laboratory, Copenhagen).

## Tourist-Zm1 Isolation

The region containing the Tourist-Zm1 element was amplified from a $w x-B 2$-containing maize line by polymerase chain reaction (PCR) using synthetic oligonucleotides corresponding to sites in exon 10 (a: 5'AGG-CGTTGCAGGCGGAGGCG-3' [nucleotides 2325 to 2344]) and exon 12 (b: 5'-CGCTGAGACGGCCCATGTGG-3' [nucleotides 2974 to 2955]) of the maize $W x$ gene (Figure 1A; Klosgen et al., 1986).

Genomic DNA ( 300 ng ) was added to $100 \mu \mathrm{~L}$ of a PCR cocktail consisting of $1 \times$ PCR buffer ( 10 mM Tris- $\mathrm{HCl}, \mathrm{pH} 8.3,50 \mathrm{mM} \mathrm{KCl}, 1.5$ mM MgCl 2 , and $0.01 \%$ gelatin), $200 \mu \mathrm{M}$ of each deoxynucleotide triphosphate (Pharmacia), 70 ng each primer (a and b), $10 \%$ glycerol, and 2.5 units of Thermus aquaticus DNA polymerase (AmpliTaq; Perkin-Elmer-Cetus). Using a programmable thermal cycler (Perkin-Elmer-Cetus), 40 cycles of amplication were carried out using a step program ( $95^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 1 \mathrm{~min} ; 65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 2 \mathrm{~min} ; 72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 3 \mathrm{~min}$ ), followed by a $10-\mathrm{min}$ final extension at $72^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Fifty microliters of the reaction mixture was digested with 10 units each of the restriction endonucleases Sphl and

Sall (see Figure 1 for the position of these sites). The Sphl-Sall PCR fragments were isolated (Weil and Bureau, 1992), cloned into pUC119 (construct designated as pB2119; Vieira and Messing, 1987), and sequenced by the dideoxy chain termination method (Sanger et al., 1977) using a Sequenase (United States Biochemical Corp.) kit as directed by the manufacturer.

## DNA Sequence Analysis

Data base searches and sequence analyses were performed using the computer program suites of Intelligenetics (version 5.4; Intelligenetics, Inc., Mountain View, CA) and the University of Wisconsin Genetics Computer Group, Madison (UWGCG, version 7.0; Devereaux et al., 1984) accessed through the BioScience Computing Facility at the University of Georgia.
Optimal folding of DNA sequences was performed using the recursive algorithm of Zuker and Steigler (1989) as part of the FOLD program (UWGCG). Base pair and stacking energies for DNA were obtained from Breslauer et al. (1986) and the loop destabilizing energies from Freier et al. (1986).

## Slot and DNA Gel Blot Hybridization

Slot blots were performed using a Minifold II apparatus (Schleicher \& Schuell), as previously described by Zhao et al. (1989). The concentration of genomic (maize inbred lines HY and W23) and plasmid (pB2119, pLcH3 [containing the 5 ' half of the $R$ gene Lc], pgLH1 [containing the $3^{\prime}$ barley Ac/1 gene and $3^{\prime}$ 'flanking regions; obtained from L. Hansen], and pUC119) DNA was measured on a Hoefer TKO100 mini-fluorometer using the fluorescent dye bis-benzimidole (Hoechst 33258). Genomic DNA and plasmid standards were serially diluted ranging from 5 to $0.625 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ and 1 to 0.125 ng , respectively. Each slot blot run included the diluted genomic DNA, plasmid standard, and pUC119 control (to establish the degree of nonspecific hybridization)

For DNA gel blots, total genomic DNA ( $5 \mu \mathrm{~g}$ ) was digested with 20 units of the restriction endonuclease EcoRI and electrophoresed through a 0.8\% agarose gel (Bethesda Research Laboratories) at 100 V. DNA transfer onto GeneScreen Plus (New England Biolabs) was performed according to the procedure of Sambrook et al. (1989).
Tourist-Zm4 and Tourist-Hv1 elements were isolated by PCR for use as probes of slot and DNA gel blots. A degenerate oligonucleotide corresponding to the TIR consensus sequence between Tourist-Zm1, Tourist-Zm4, and Tourist-Hv1 was synthesized (TouristA: $5^{\prime}$-GG[C/A]C-[T/A]T[G/A]TT[CT][G/A]GTT-3) and used in a PCR protocol with pLcH3 and pgLH1 constructs as target sequences. PCR conditions were as before except that an annealing temperature of $45^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 min and an extension time of 2 min was used. PCR products were subsequently cloned and sequenced, and were found to be identical with the published sequences (see Table 1 for references).
Tourist-Zm1 could not be amplified by PCR as described above, presumably due to its high degree of DNA secondary structure (Table 1 and Figure 2). In order to use Tourist-Zm1 as a labeled probe for slot and DNA gel blots, a Sphl-Sall insert isolated from the pB2119 construct was digested with the restriction endonucleases BstNI and BssHI, which recognize sites immediately flanking the TIRs of TouristZm1. To prevent foldback of single-stranded DNA during primer extension, this fragment was further digested with the restriction endonuclease Dral, which recognizes a site midway between the termini.
The Tourist-Zm1 fragments and the Tourist-Zm4 and Tourist-Hv1 PCR products ( 50 ng ) were radiolabeled by incorporation of ${ }^{32 \mathrm{P}}$-dATP and
${ }^{32 P}$-dGTP (New England Biolabs) during TouristA primer extension using a random primer kit (Bethesda Research Laboratories; random primers were not used). Hybridization conditions were as mentioned by Zhao and Kochert (1992). Filters were washed at low ( $2 \times$ SSC [ $1 \times \mathrm{SSC}$ is $0.15 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NaCl}, 0.015 \mathrm{M}$ sodium citrate], $0.5 \% \mathrm{SDS}$ ) and then high ( $0.1 \times \operatorname{SSC}, 0.5 \%$ SDS ) stringency for 60 min at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. Autoradiography was performed using Kodak X-Omat film and a Du Pont intensifying screen according to Sambrook et al. (1989). Scanning densitometry of slot blot autoradiograms was performed using a Beckman DU-7 spectrophotometer with a gel scan attachment and analyzed according to Rivin et al. (1986). For DNA gel blots, band intensity does not reflect copy number because the film exposure times ( 12 hr to 3 days) were varied to obtain optimum resolution.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ To whom correspondence should be addressed.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The target sites (positions 1 through 3; underlined) and $5^{\prime}$ (positions -6 through 0 ) and $3^{\prime}$ (positions 4 through 10) flanking sequences of each Tourist element were obtained from references mentioned in Table 1. Tourist-Zm7, Tourist-Zm9, Tourist-Zm10, and Tourist-Zm12 lack a 3-bp direct repeat and were omitted from the alignment.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{N}=\mathrm{G}, \mathrm{A}, \mathrm{T}$, or $\mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{M}=\mathrm{A}$ or $\mathrm{C} ; \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{G}$ or $\mathrm{A} ; \mathrm{K}=\mathrm{G}$ or T .

